“Render unto Caesar what belongs to Caesar.” - Jesus

The above quote has many believers in the U.S. enslaved because they don’t understand the history behind it. They use it as a Scriptural justification for paying what they understand as their “fair share” via the graduated income tax in the U.S. These believers fail to understand three things:

One, there is no equivalent of “Caesar” in the U.S. (Caesar was the Roman Sovereign in Jesus’ time). Under the Constitution the citizens in the U.S. are the sovereigns. ["In free governments the rulers are the servants and the people are their superiors and sovereigns." – Benjamin Franklin – a signer of the Declaration of Independence.] Two, it is easily proven that Jesus was talking about something entirely different in the original languages (more about that later). Third, the “fair share” propaganda is exactly that, propaganda!

Here we go… the financial side of the story of, From Liberty to Tyranny. PLEASE NOTE: I usually write about how we have not lived up to our individual destinies promised by Jesus because of religious tradition that has nothing to do with the destiny he said was ours and that he taught specifically how to walk in it. Organized religion in the U.S. is controlled by the same insidious system that has robbed the citizens of the U.S. of their financial freedom. Let's take a look at what happened to our liberty and sovereignty.

"Allow me to control a nation's money and I care not who makes its laws!"

- Amshell Rothschild

Toward the end of the 19th century the European banks controlled by the Rothschilds started a campaign to get the American economy under their control. Around 1900 the Rothschilds sent an agent, Paul Warburg, to the U.S. to collaborate with the U.S. banking firm of Kuhn Loeb & Co. Paul Warburg started a campaign for the creation of the FEDERAL RESERVE BANK as an established, private central bank in America. This campaign included a contrived monetary crisis, a run on U.S. banks and an economic panic. The easily documented deception was born.

Some say that the Federal Reserve proposal was unconstitutional from its inception, because the Federal Reserve System was to be a bank of issue, i.e., the issuance of currency. They use Article 1, Sec. 8, Par. 5 of the Constitution expressly charges Congress with "the power to coin money and regulate the value thereof."

Some historians claim that Warburg’s plan would deprive Congress of its sovereignty, and the systems of checks and balances of power set up by Thomas Jefferson in the Constitution would now be destroyed. Administrators of the proposed system would control the nation's money and credit, and would themselves be approved by the executive department of the government. The judicial department (the Supreme Court, etc.) was already virtually controlled by the executive department through presidential appointment to the bench.

It could be argued that congress was not deprived. Congress entered into a legitimate and constitutional contract with the Federal Reserve (hereafter sometimes referred to simply as, “the Fed”) to take over the issuance, control and regulation (for the purpose of stability) of the U.S. currency. That the Chairman of the Fed is appointed by the President and approved by Congress doesn’t make the deal any less insidious and enslaving. Let's look at some particulars.

An oversimplified but still accurate portrayal of the financial system of the U.S. is that the Executive and Legislative branches (the President and Congress) combine, in a highly political budgetary process, to come up with an annual budget. Once the highly publicized result is obtained... the U.S. BORROWS the money from the Federal Reserve, which is not Federal and has no reserves. The interest on that borrowed money has accumulated into what is referred to as the national debt. The income tax collected from the citizens goes one hundred percent for the payment of the interest. The Internal Revenue Service (also hereafter IRS) was established the same year as the Fed and was given rather broad powers to collect this tax.

Further along we will underscore some of the well-documented abuses, which violate the God-given rights of the citizens, which are guaranteed under the Constitution as amended in 1791. But first, let's look at what the author of the Constitution had to say about taxes:
"To compel a man to subsidize with his taxes the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical." -- Thomas Jefferson

“When the government fears the people, there is liberty. When the people fear the government, there is tyranny.” -- Thomas Jefferson

Now then, here is my quote: “If anyone says they do not fear the government… they are overlooking the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).” -- This author

The following list of actions perpetrated by agents of the IRS, which violate the rights of citizens guaranteed under the Constitution for the United States (as amended in 1791). This, “Only the IRS,” list was compiled by a U.S. Senator in decrying the invisible power of what he referred to as the “hidden government,” comprised of the Fed and the IRS. He pointed out that these violations are a contradiction to the oath these agents took to uphold the very Constitution they are specifically trained to ignore.

Let’s look at this list and then let's examine the insidious connection between these two quasi government agencies, i.e., the IRS, and the Fed -- and look at how we've lost our liberty -- because under this system our liberties are always under threat!

The following are violations committed every day against citizens, contrary to their constitutional rights, and all under the guise of “everyone should pay their fair share.” We’ll come back to take a look at this bit of propaganda baloney and how it is perpetrated and perpetuated -- by asking a simple question: FAIR SHARE OF WHAT?

Only the I.R.S can attach 100% of a tax debtor's wages and/or property.  
Only the I.R.S can invade the privacy of a citizen without court process of any kind. Only the I.R.S seizes property without a court order.  
Only the I.R.S forces a citizen to try his case in a special court governed by the I.R.S.  
Only the I.R.S compels the production of documents, records, and other materials without a court case being in existence.  
Only the I.R.S with impunity publishes the details of a citizen's debt.  
Only the I.R.S, without a court order, subjects citizens to electronic surveillance.  
Only the I.R.S forces waiver of statutes of limitations and other citizen's rights through the threat of Arbitrary assessment.  
Only the I.R.S uses extralegal coercion such as threats to witnesses to examine their taxes regularly as a means to obtain whatever evidence the I.R.S. dictates.  
Only the I.R.S violates written agreements with citizens.  
Only the I.R.S uses reprisals against citizens and public officials alike.  
Only the I.R.S confiscates property on the basis of conjecture.  
Only the I.R.S maintains lists of citizens guilty of no crime for the purpose of harassing and monitoring them.  
Only the I.R.S publicly admits that it's purpose is to instill fear in the citizenry as a technique of performing its function.  
Only the I.R.S continually harasses religious institutions.  
Only the I.R.S is exempt from portions of the Fair debt collection practices act.

Only the I.R.S considers bankrupting a business as the best way to collect on its debt. (When assets are liquidated, The I.R.S. is the first to receive payment. And tax debts are exempt from debt forgiveness under the Bankruptcy laws.

The Tax court is regulated by a special branch of civil law, which contrary to all western legal tradition, denies the citizen not only his right to a jury, but also his rights to appeal to a higher court of law. If he refuses to pay the amount assessed by the tax court he is hauled off to a criminal proceeding as refusal to pay is a felony.

Odds are fairly good that the Tax Court Judge is a former employee of the I.R.S.

So, how are the Fed and the IRS connected?

The several trillion dollar “national debt” was created by the Fed lending money to the U.S. government and charging high interest rates for something that costs the Fed only paper, ink and printing costs. This is one of the biggest swindles in the history of the U.S. and almost nobody notices. And so the Fed holds - via the obligations of the U.S. government - a lien equivalent to the whole of all the state and private property in the United States of America.

Innumerable lawsuits have not been successful in reversing the Federal Reserve Act. There is no legal way for the citizens to reclaim the money because the Fed is not a part of the government but a private institution. While some say that apparently the Fed is not constitutional and should therefore not even exist and wonder why nine U.S. states have cases pending to abolish the Fed that seem to go nowhere, I go back to the right the congress had to enter into a lawful
contract with the Fed under Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution. There are better ways to regain and protect our rights from the actions of The Beast than litigating it before the Judiciary.

The connection is that the IRS is the collection agency for the Fed via the income tax levied against the U.S. Citizenry implored to pay their “fair share.” Listen to and absorb the following: One hundred percent of the income tax collected on the basis of the 1040 individual tax return goes to pay the interest on the debt held by the Fed.

A couple of days ago I tuned in momentarily to the nightly Hannity & Colmes television show on the Fox News channel. Both moderators agreed that we should all pay “our fair share.” A woman had just been interviewed along with her lawyer… and the debate was about the fact that she used a “trick” to get out filing a 1040 and paying “her” taxes. Her lawyer pointed out that this was fully and completely within her rights to do. Sean Hannity, a self-proclaimed Roman Catholic Christian and otherwise usual conservative, said something to the effect that the woman had basically just got out of paying “what the rest of us have to pay.” He said to her, “If all of us did what you did, who would pay for the roads, prisons, schools and other things the society all uses and benefits from?”

This sounds like a solid moral argument, but is it? Simply and emphatically NO! As stated above none of the personal income tax collected goes toward paying for roads or schools. Roads are paid for specifically through gasoline and other fuel taxes, as well as highway use permits and taxes. Schools are paid from real estate taxes. Myriad other governmental services are paid by myriad other taxes. The income tax does nothing but line the pockets of private bankers, many of which, as shown in the foregoing history capsule, are not even in this country!

If all this is true, and it is easily documented as fact by anyone willing to do a modicum of research, shouldn’t we begin to question what we have always assumed to be the way things are done? If our questions lead us to the truth, shouldn’t we wisely and prudently act upon this truth?

According to John Adams, signer of the Declaration of Independence and our Country’s second President, “You have rights antecedent to all earthly governments; rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe." Adams is also credited with having said, “Those who fail to assert their rights have none!”

It is relatively easy to exercise your rights that eliminate this insidious tax and blind obedience to the IRS from your life. But not if you don’t make a determined commitment to assert these rights.

As stated at the outset, many Christians defend their payment of the income tax on the basis of Jesus’ words, “Render unto Caesar what belongs to Caesar.”

We won’t go into this too deeply here. However, anyone wanting specific information that relates to the Scriptures, please write to me and we’ll have a dialogue. Here is the one point everyone should keep in mind. The American Revolution had its roots in what was considered an unfair tax levied by the King of England. The King (or Queen) in the United Kingdom is the Sovereign there. We are sovereign here. We have no sovereign unto which to render taxes… no matter how good the concept is… and in this case, as already pointed out, the concept is anything but good. It is a giant swindle!

A loyal American is one who realizes that his or her Country was brought into existence through revolution and has flourished in dissent. The heritage of people of the U.S. is one of protest and revolt, and their sovereignty becomes obscure if they celebrate the rebels of the past while they silence the rebels of the present.

Our sovereignty as citizens of the kingdom of God goes far deeper than our sovereignty as citizens of ANY country. WE ARE, I AM! The heritage of the children of the Kingdom is to turn our backs on organized Christianity which refuses to pay any heed to the words of Jesus Christ which they claim, as Christians, is their namesake. We have power and dominion, which grows with exercise and diminishes and even atrophies when we neglect it.